

National University of Singapore  
Microeconomics III, EC4101 (L1)  
Tutorial 2 Solution: Labor supply, comparative statics  
Lecturer: Parimal Bag

1. Suppose Emma has the following preferences

$$v(x, \ell) = (x - 5)^{1/2}(\ell - 10)^{1/2},$$

where five units of the general consumption good,  $x$ , and ten hours of leisure,  $\ell$ , are the minimal consumptions (of  $x$  and  $\ell$ ) that Emma must have to maintain her sanity. Let  $T$  be the maximum number of hours that Emma is allowed to work by her employer ( $T > 24$ ). Interpret  $T$  also to be the maximum leisure hours that Emma can enjoy. Let  $w$  be the hourly wage rate, and  $p$  be the price of good  $x$ . Assume  $w > p$ .

- (a) Write Emma's budget equation.

**Answer:**

$$px = w(T - \ell) + M, \tag{1}$$

where  $M$  is Emma's money endowment.

- (b) Derive Emma's demand function for leisure as a function of  $w$  and  $p$ .

**Answer:** At the start set aside  $5p + 10w$  as the minimal expenditure required to survive (i.e., buy  $x_0 = 5$  and  $\ell_0 = 10$  as a first-stage survival requirement). Then Emma is left with the residual budget

$$\mathcal{I} = wT + M - (5p + 10w) \underset{\text{assume}}{>} 0,$$

which she must spend on  $\tilde{x} = x - 5$  and  $\tilde{\ell} = \ell - 10$  to maximize the utility:

$$v(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\ell}) = \tilde{x}^{(1/2)}\tilde{\ell}^{(1/2)}.$$

Utility maximization for Cobb-Douglas preferences yield

$$\tilde{x} = \frac{(1/2)\mathcal{I}}{p}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\ell} = \frac{(1/2)\mathcal{I}}{w}.$$

So, finally obtain

$$x^* = 5 + \tilde{x} = 5 + \frac{(1/2)\mathcal{I}}{p}, \quad \text{and} \quad \ell^* = 10 + \tilde{\ell} = 10 + \frac{(1/2)\mathcal{I}}{w}.$$

Writing out more elaborately,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell^* &= 10 + \frac{(1/2)[wT + M - (5p + 10w)]}{w} \\ &= 10 + (1/2)\left[T + \frac{(M - 5p)}{w} - 10\right], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$x^* = 5 + \frac{(1/2)[wT + M - (5p + 10w)]}{p}.$$

- (c) Suppose the government offers Emma a wage-supplement  $s > 0$  for every hour of work. What happens to Emma's optimal work choice?

**Answer:** With the wage-supplement, Emma's budget becomes

$$px = (w + s)(T - \ell) + M. \tag{2}$$

So her optimal leisure choice is altered to

$$\ell_{supp}^* = 10 + (1/2)\left[T + \frac{(M - 5p)}{(w + s)} - 10\right].$$

Comparing  $\ell_{supp}^*$  with  $\ell^*$  conclude that  $\ell_{supp}^* < \ell^*$  if  $M > 5p$ , and  $\ell_{supp}^* > \ell^*$  if  $M < 5p$ ; if  $M = 5p$  then  $\ell_{supp}^* = \ell^*$ .

So Emma's decision will be affected as follows:

- work more with the wage-supplement if  $M > 5p$ ;
- work less with the wage-supplement if  $M < 5p$ ;

- no change in work hours if  $M = 5p$ .

If  $M = 0$  then the second case holds so that Emma would work less and take more time in leisure.

- (d) Suppose the government imposes a tax  $t > 0$  for every hour of work. What happens to Emma's work choice?

**Answer:** With the tax, Emma's budget becomes

$$px = (w - t)(T - \ell) + M. \quad (3)$$

So her optimal leisure choice is altered to

$$\ell_{tax}^* = 10 + (1/2)[T + \frac{(M - 5p)}{(w - t)} - 10].$$

It is easy to see that with the tax, Emma's work decision will be the exact opposite of the case of wage-supplement. For instance if  $M = 0$ , Emma would like to work more.

- (e) Are  $x$  and  $\ell$  substitutes or complements?

**Answer:** One way to see whether  $x$  and  $\ell$  are substitutes or complements is to calculate the cross-price effect and conclude from the sign. So check that

$$\frac{\partial x^*}{\partial w} = (1/2) \frac{(T - 10)}{p} > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \ell^*}{\partial p} = (1/2) \frac{(-5)}{w} < 0.$$

Given that  $\frac{\partial x^*}{\partial w} > 0$  suggests that if wage rate increases, demand for  $x$  will also increase. Since increase in  $w$  could be thought to have led to a decline in the demand for leisure (because leisure has become more expensive), increase in the demand for  $x$  can be interpreted as  $x$  and  $\ell$  being *gross-substitutes*.

On the other hand, notice that  $\frac{\partial \ell^*}{\partial p} < 0$ , which can be interpreted as follows:  $\uparrow p$  means  $x \downarrow$ , so if also  $\ell \downarrow$  then it must be that  $x$  and  $\ell$  are *gross-complements*.

Note that there have been other types of answers given based on the utility function which is also fine.